1: Vision

Do you consider that the vision is appropriate for South Northamptonshire District?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No
- [ ] No opinion

If you have answered no, please explain how it should be changed:

«No response»
2: Objectives

Do you agree with the suggested objectives?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

If you have answered no, please suggest alternative objectives:

«No response»

3: Settlement Hierarchy

Based on Table 1 above should all of the services and facilities listed be included in the settlement hierarchy appraisal?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

Please specify which services and facilities should or should not be included and the reasons why:

«No response»
3a: Settlement Hierarchy
Based on Table 1 is the importance attached to each correct?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

3b: Settlement Hierarchy
Are there other services and facilities that should be included in the settlement hierarchy appraisal?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please specify which services and facilities should or should not be included and the reasons why:

- Secondary school demoted to less important
- Village halls given a higher rating to very important
- Permanent library demoted to less important
4: Settlement Hierarchy

Do you think that the services and facilities considered the most important should be given a higher score?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

Please explain your response:

«No response»

5: Settlement Hierarchy

Secondary Schools and Local Health facilities scored 'most important' because they are considered to be 'scarce'. Do you think any other services should also be classed as 'most important'?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

Please explain your response:

although secondary schools have been scored as most important I don't consider this rating is applicable because of scarcity, while any reasonable sized village would regard a surgery as most important. This is used by every age group and transport can be an issue.
6: Settlement Hierarchy

As suggested should the presence of more than one type of 'Most Important' or 'Very Important' service result in additional scores being given:

For example: If there are 2 public houses should this result in an additional score?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

two pubs or two shops could result in the demise of both unless the settlement is very large - many large villages have difficulty in supporting one

7: Settlement Hierarchy

One option could be to adopt a cluster approach i.e. grouping settlements/villages together so that services and facilities are considered to be shared. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

transport issues for elderly and the less well off deny this approach
8: Settlement Hierarchy
An alternative option is a "dispersed" approach i.e. this considers individual settlements/villages separately on their own merits. Do you agree with this approach?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
settlement should be regarded as communities of people and regard themselves as a cohesive unit

9: Settlement Hierarchy
Should a settlement’s distance from a larger urban area (eg: Milton Keynes, Banbury, Northampton) or a rural service centre (ie: Brackley or Towcester) be factored into the settlement hierarchy?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
the fragility, frequency and times of bus services often do not add much to villages. We have had to fight hard to maintain a minimum bus service which is still not much use to workers in nearby towns especially those working shifts or unsociable hours
10: Settlement Hierarchy

Should the nearest location of “Most Important” services and facilities be factored in to the settlement hierarchy’s assessment of sustainability; if not present in the village?

For example; a settlement with a secondary school or GP Surgery would be given additional points compared to a settlement that does not have a secondary school; however should a settlement that is close to one with a secondary school be given additional points?

☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ No opinion

Please explain your response:

transport issues render this approach invalid - not everyone has a car, bus services cannot be relied upon and 2 car families are few in the less well off families and if the wage earner has the car wives and families are stranded Secondary school children are bussed in so distance is not relevant
11: Settlement Hierarchy

Do you consider that settlements should be scored depending on the level of population within them?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

larger populations do not necessarily attract more facilities - depends more on the proactivity of the community and their communities

12: Settlement Hierarchy

Should local employment provision via business parks within or close to a settlement be weighted according to the distance from a settlement(s)?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

transport issues and compatibility to skills required and skills available in the existing population of the settlement
13: Settlement Hierarchy

Should the capacity of the business park in terms of the number of business units be included in the settlement hierarchy’s assessment of sustainability?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

again lack of transport security compatibility of skills available with the local community

---

14: Settlement Hierarchy

Should settlements where there is a bus service to larger urban areas (Banbury, Northampton and Milton Keynes) be scored higher in the settlement hierarchy’s assessment of sustainability?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

lack of security of service - e.g. subsidy funding of rural services could be withdrawn by local councils at any time
15: Settlement Hierarchy
Should settlements where there is a bus service to market towns or primary service villages (a village with the highest level of services and facilities within the rural area) be scored higher in the settlement hierarchy’s assessment of sustainability?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:
we had ours withdrawn and it looks a year of hard negotiating to reinstate it,. Too fragile to be relied on.

16: Settlement Hierarchy
Should the frequency of bus services be considered as part of a settlement’s accessibility?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:
again not guaranteed and cannot be relied on as a permanent feature. Timing of services are critical to be of use to workers
17: Settlement Hierarchy

Some settlements have access to or are close to passenger rail services. Should the assessment take account of the proximity/frequency of rail services and final destinations?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No
- [ ] No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

only of use to long distance commuters who only use villages as B&B and rarely contribute to the community

18: Settlement Hierarchy

Should public transport services that are publically funded or subsidised (for example a bus service) score a lower score due to their potential vulnerability of services being reduced or stopped?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No
- [ ] No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

«No response»
19: Scale of Housing
Should any additional housing sites be identified in the urban areas and if so how much and where should these be located?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

living in a small village i don't feel I know the 2 major population centres in SNC as we are too far away from either to use them

20: Scale of Housing
Should any further growth be identified in the Plan for the rural areas?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

could unbalance the existing community and not be sustainable for transport
21: Scale of Housing
If you have a preferred option, please specify which one.

- Option 1
- Option 2
- Option 3
- Option 4
- Option 5
- Option 6

Please explain your response. If your response is in relation to a particular settlement please let us know the location.

our village has been proactive in meeting its housing needs

22: Scale of Housing
Are there other options we should consider?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

this is the largest development in the village of r50 years
23: Housing for older people

Should provision be made for housing of all types to meet the needs of the elderly in all settlements?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

would free up larger family houses and enable older people to downsize and remain in their community

24: Housing for older people

Should provision be made for housing for all types to meet the needs of the elderly in more sustainable and accessible locations, close to services?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

«No response»
25: Housing for older people
Should sites be allocated specifically for the provision of older persons housing (sheltered / retirement village / extra care homes etc.)

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
without a positive approach development won't happen

26: Housing for young people
Should allocations for general development include a proportion of smaller market dwellings (1 and 2 bedroomed) for sale or private rent?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
could be more practical than the governments so called started homes most developers in the more desirable villages only build large more profitable houses
27: Housing for young people
Should the Local Plan specify a proportion of dwellings to be for private rent on each allocated site?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

Please explain your response:
Let market forces dictate, if smaller units applicable to the market are mandated the private rental market in appropriate locations will buy if the government hasn't strangled the market

28: Starter homes
Should exception sites for starter homes be allowed in all settlements or just those considered to be the most sustainable?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

Please explain your response:
not all locations suitable
28a: Starter Homes
Alternatively should the Plan include a criteria based policy to encourage the development of Starter Homes?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
and include shared ownership in the affordable quota

29: Affordable housing
Should the plan allocate additional sites in certain more sustainable villages (or cluster villages) as exception sites to meet affordable housing needs?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
BUT MUST RESPOND TO LOCAL NEED
30: Affordable housing
Should sites be allocated in the urban areas to boost affordable housing provision?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
BUT MUST RESPOND TO LOCAL NEED

30a: Affordable housing
Should sites be allocated in both the urban areas and sustainable villages to boost affordable housing provision?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
MUST ONLY RESPOND TO LOCAL NEED NOT BE A BLANKET POLICY
31: Self Build
Should the plan specify a threshold or percentage of serviced plots to ensure the delivery of self-build plots?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
But only in large urban developments

32: Housing design
Should the Local Plan Part 2A introduce the nationally described space standards?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:
cannot answer without knowledge of the spacial standards which should differentiate between urban and rural
32a: Housing design
Should the Local Plan Part 2A introduce the additional technical requirements for access?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your response:

- but should relate to local needs as disability access to which I believe you allude varies according to the disabled person

33: Health and wellbeing
Are there other health and wellbeing options that need to be included and that the Council can influence through planning new development?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

- other than safety and security by design
34: Environmental considerations

Are there other environmental considerations that need to be included?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

enforce sustainable development e.g. exploit reusable energy where possible (PV tiles) high insulation levels reuse of grey water IE it should be possible to make all houses passive for energy by now

35: Local Green Space designation

Is there anything else that needs to be considered in the separate document the Draft Local Green Space Designation and Methodology Policy?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

current plans proposed with the cooperation of settlement should be adequate
### 36: Special Landscape Areas

Are there areas within the district that should be considered for designation as Special Landscape Areas?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No
- [ ] No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

unless you consider Yardley Chase as being suitable

---

### 37a: Green wedge / area of separation

Are there areas where it would be appropriate to consider a Green Wedge designation?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No
- [ ] No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

«No response»
38: Transport and accessibility
Are there other transport and accessibility principles that need to be included?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

If yes please explain your response:
«No response»

39: Economic advantage
Are there other economic advantage options that need to be included?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ No opinion

If yes please explain your response:
«No response»
40: Community and Leisure

Are there other community and leisure options that need to be included?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

- there should be a positive requirement to support community centres and particularly village halls that I regard as being an essential heart to most rural communities

41: Confine review and re-establishment

Do you have suggestions on where village confines could be ’tidied up’?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response. If your response is in relation to a particular settlement please let us know the location.

- Yardley Hastings Parish Council has already submitted its recommendations
42: Development Management Policies

What policies do you consider should be included in the Plan?

- A serious endeavour improve the sustainability of all housing with the goal of achieving a Passive standard of housing.

43: General Question

Are there any other planning and development issues that you think the plan should cover that have not been identified within this document?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If yes please explain your response:

- more provision should be made for visitor parking within developments
37: Green wedges / areas of separation

From the options above, do you have a preferred option?

- A - Identification of Green Wedges based on criteria set out
- B - Do not identify any Green Wedges but include a policy approach
- C - Do not have any policy or designation
- D - Identify another option
- E - No opinion

Please explain your response

Plans are too prescriptive whereas carefully worded descriptions allow a little flexibility for appropriate scale of development that does not result in the amalgamation of settlements or creep of large conurbation